



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE -United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A DRI ICA TIONINO	T EU DIC DATE	CIDCT MANGE DATES TOO	ATTORNEY BOCKET NO	CONFIDMATIONANO
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/503,042	02/11/2000	Albert D. Baker	Baker 21-4	6042
	7590 05/07/2003			
Joseph B. Ryan			EXAMINER	
Ryan & Mason, L.L.P. 90 Forest Avenue			EMDADI, KAMRAN	
Locust Valley, NY 11560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2664	2
			DATE MAILED, 05/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Som

			4				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	a				
o Comment of the Comm	09/503,042	BAKER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Kamran Emdadi	2664					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 F	ebruary 2000 .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application	ı <b>.</b>	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* · · ·						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	-						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisional application)					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/503,042

Art Unit: 2664

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Araujo et al. (US Patent No. 6097720).
  - Regarding claims 1, 2, 10, 14, 15, 23 and 27, Araujo teaches: a network with two devices establishing communication by sending control packets back and forth in a layer two tunneling protocol to include CPE (Customer Premise Equipment) in order to establish a tunnel for communication within the layer two protocol (Col 9, lines 15-34).
  - Regarding claims 3 and 16, Araujo teaches: a network server used in the tunneling process (Abstract).

Art Unit: 2664

Regarding claims 4 and 17, Araujo teaches: the layer two tunneling protocol is a
variation of a LLC link of the type PPP as mentioned in the applicant's
specification as on type of variant where LLC is inherently a layer two protocol.

- Regarding claims 5, 6, 18, and 19, Araujo teaches: an ADSL modem 13 as being one variation of the CPE (Figure 1).
- Regarding claim 7 and 20, Araujo teaches: an Internet network 31 attached as part of the network inherently communicating with an IP protocol (Figure 1).
- Regarding claims 8, 9, 21, and 22 Araujo teaches: the tunneling protocol across an ATM network (Col 10, lines 65-67) and across a VC (Col 9, line 50).
- Regarding claims 11-13 and 24-26 Araujo teaches: a data link testing process for testing for data link layer or LCP (Link Control Protocols) protocols and network layer protocols and PPP and encapsulation (Col 5, lines 1-10).

## Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Araujo et al. (US Patent No. 6118785) PPP with signaling channel.
  - Araujo et al. (US Patent No. 6301229) Protocol processes for end stations.
  - Loehndorf, Jr. et al. (US Patent No. 6094437) L2TP for merging networks.
  - DeNap et al. (US Patent No. 6407997) ATM for telelphony.

Examiners notes: LINK refers to: The determined link type in the illustrative embodiment may include, e.g., one or more of a logical link control (LLC), a

Art Unit: 2664

point-to-point protocol (PPP), an LLC-PPP, an Internet protocol (IP), an LLC-IP protocol, an Ethernet protocol, and an LLC-Ethemet protocol.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamran Emdadi whose telephone number is (703) 305-4899. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 8am and 5pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin, can be reached at (703) 305-4366. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314 for regular communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Kamran Emdadi

04/22/2003

RWANG BIN YAO